

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D. C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/690,454 10/18/2000		Steven M. Ruben	PZ006P1C1	1914	
22195 75	590 07/31/2002				
	HUMAN GENOME SCIENCES INC		EXAMINER		
9410 KEY WEST AVENUE ROCKVILLE, MD 20850			CARLSON, KAREN C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1653	19	
			DATE MAILED: 07/31/2002	de	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/690,454	RUBEN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Karen Cochrane Carlson,	1653

Interview Summary	Examiner Art Unit					
	Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D.	1653				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D.	(3) <u>Doyle Siever</u> .					
(2) <u>Janet Martineau</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>03 July 2002</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>pending</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Wiley, Feng.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>JM pointed out that the post-filing art corroborated some of the asserted utilities, such as angiogenesis. KCC requested that JM provide arguments for an asserted utility and compare this utility to the post-filing art. Also, if the arguments are persuasive, then JM may also want to satisfy written description by placing the asserted utility as the function in claims recited fragments or % identity. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)</u>						
 i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked). 						
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. **WAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PLD** **CARLSON, PLD** **C						
	KAREN COCHRANE CO	ARLSON, PH.D IMINER				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		nature, if required				
	3	•	1			